



### iXBRL Review

- Vergil Lamech A
- DataTracks
- Member of XBRL Europe

### Key issues in iXBRL document

- Facts tagged but not marked up on the document
- Inconsistency in tags between reporting periods or versions within the same reporting period
- Feedback to preparation team getting missed out
- iXBRL document with errors

## Key components in review

- Human readable layer
- XBRL Mark-up layer

## Human readable layer

#### Appearance

- Presentation of the iXBRL matches the source AR
- Alignments of numbers within the financial tables
- Resolution of text contents and images

#### Compatibility

- Compatible with all the common browsers
- Compatible for review tools

#### Size of the file

 Size of the iXBRL should match the respective regulators file size limit

# XBRL Mark-up layer

- Consolidated statement prepared in IFRS are marked-up with the core taxonomy elements
- Elements of the core taxonomy with the closest accounting meaning is used in tagging the facts
- Proper extension element is used where no suitable core taxonomy concept available
- Mark-ups are comply with the common rules on mark-ups provided in ESEF regulation
  - Period
  - Scaling
  - Decimal
  - Calculation

- Anchoring
- Labeling
- Dimension
- Member

### Review requirements

- To perform an effective review of the iXBRL, one would require knowledge on the following;
  - IFRS accounting standard
  - Basic iXBRL
  - ESEF reporting manual
  - Taxonomy and its components
  - Ability in understanding validation information
- A review tool providing comprehensive review mechanism

### Effective review tool

- Visual elegance
- Elements and attributes
- Multilingual label
- Taxonomy presentation
- Calculation relationship
- Anchoring relationship

- Filtering of facts
- In-built validation
- Approval/rejection of tags
- Commenting on facts
- Version compare
- Statistics

# Thank you!