

Feeding AI Right: XBRL vs. Unstructured Data

Structured Data Powers Reliable Intelligence

Revathy Ramanan, XBRL International









Why structured reporting still matters



No new arguments, but let's reassess considering AI advancements





Is AI data extraction reliable enough?







Focus on single CSRD metric

Sustainability statements - Environmental information - ESRS E1

E1-5 - Energy consumption and mix

Unit 2024 0 Fuel consumption from coal and coal products MWh Fuel consumption from crude oil and petroleum products MWh 0 2 Fuel consumption from natural gas MWh 2.898 Fuel consumption from other fossil sources MWh 10,279 Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity, heat, steam and cooling from fossil MWh 1.682 sources Total fossil energy consumption (calculated as the sum of line 1 to 5) MWh 14,859 Share of fossil sources in total energy consumption % 51 Consumption from nuclear sources MWh 0 Share of consumption from nuclear sources in total energy consumption 0 % Fuel consumption for renewable sources, including biomass (also comprising MWh 0 industrial and municipal waste of biologic origin, biogas, renewable hydrogen, etc.) Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity, heat, steam and cooling from MWh 12,858 renewable sources 10 The consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy MWh 1,533 11 Total renewable energy consumption (calculated as the sum of lines 8 to 10) MWh 14,391 49 Share of renewable sources in total energy consumption % 29,250 Total energy consumption (calculated as sum of line 6 and 11) MWh

Solar A/S Annual Report 2024; Page 65



Share of renewable sources in total energy consumption = 49%

Chat-GPT



What is the Percentage of renewable sources in total energy consumption

In the Solar Annual Report 2024, the percentage of renewable sources in total energy consumption is reported as 49%.

This is calculated from:

- Purchased or acquired electricity, heat, steam, and cooling from renewable sources: 12,858 MWh
- Self-generated non-fuel renewable energy: 1,533 MWh
- Total renewable energy consumption: 14,391 MWh
- Total energy consumption: 29,250 MWh

So, the share of renewables = $rac{14,391}{29,250} imes100=49\%$ \square .

Sources









Google Gemini



Talks about targets and not the actual metric





Mistral



Solar Annual Report 2024.pdf

What is the Percentage of renewable sources in total energy consumption

The document states that Solar aims to use 100% renewable energy (electricity) by 2026. For 2024, the share of renewable energy (electricity) reached 88%. For sites owned by Solar, the share was 100%. However, since most of their sites are leased, achieving 100% renewable energy across all sites is challenging, but they are committed to making ongoing efforts to increase this share.

The document does not specify the percentage of renewable sources in total energy consumption for 2024, but it does mention that approximately 30% of their total electricity consumption comes from self-generated energy.

> G ന് ርወ G









15:12

Same metric but different report

Energy	intensity and mix				
EFRAG ID	Indicator	Unit	2024	20231	20221
E1-5_18	Energy intensity	GWh/USDm	2.18	2.28	1.52
E1-5_09	Share of renewable energy consumption	%	3	2	2
E1-5_15	Share of fossil fuel sources in energy consumption	%	97	98	98

A.P. Moller - Maersk Annual Report 2024; Page 95







None of the LLMs (Large Language Models) extracted the value, despite it being present in the PDF





Switching to XBRL



- iXBRL report of A.P. Moller -Maersk Annual Report
- Voluntary tagged by IRIS Carbon

https://eu.iriscarbon.com/Home/Ix brlViewer/67b2ffb1e538d40b54f12 5c3



Querying XBRL report

what is reported value for "PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" what is reported value for "PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" H. Worked for 1s The reported value for "PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" is The reported value for "PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" for the 2024 0.03 (or 3%). reporting period (from 2024-01-01 to 2025-01-01) is 0.03, which indicates that 3% of the total energy SP G Ē ሰን consumption is derived from renewable sources

Correct

answer in

all three

LLMs

what is reported value for "PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption"

Okay, I'll extract the reported values for "PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" from the JSON data you provided.

Based on the provided JSON:

Thought for a couple of seconds >

- Tag510: "esrs:PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" with period "2024-01-01T00:00:00/2025-01-01T00:00:00" and ReportingScopeAxis "esrs:PreviouslyStatedMember" has a value of "0.03" (or 3%)
- Tag512: "esrs:PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" with period "2023-01-01T00:00:00/2024-01-01T00:00:00" and ReportingScopeAxis "esrs:PreviouslyStatedMember" has a value of "0.02" (or 2%)
- Tag514: "esrs:PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" with period "2022-01-01T00:00:00/2023-01-01T00:00:00" and ReportingScopeAxis "esrs:PreviouslyStatedMember" has a value of "0.02" (or 2%)

Therefore:

- For the reporting period 2024-01-01 to 2025-01-01, the reported value for "PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" is 0.03 (or 3%).
- For the reporting period 2023-01-01 to 2024-01-01, the reported value for "PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" is 0.02 (or 2%).
- For the reporting period 2022-01-01 to 2023-01-01, the reported value for "PercentageOfRenewableSourcesInTotalEnergyConsumption" is 0.02 (or 2%).

It's important to note that the numbers are expressed as decimals in the JSON, so 0.03 represents 3%.



https://www.xbrl.org/a-getting-started-guide-experimenting-with-llms-for-xbrl-analysis/

The iXBRL report was converted to xBRL-JSON to guery in LLMs

BULLSEYE



Extraction of data consistent and reliable with **XBRL**





Gender diversity across leadership roles

Extract of CSRD Requirement

Disclosure Requirement GOV-1 - The role of the administrative, management and supervisory bodies

- The undertaking shall disclose the following information about the composition and diversity of the members of the undertaking's administrative, management and 21. supervisory bodies:
 - (d) percentage by gender and other aspects of diversity that the undertaking considers. The board's gender diversity (¹³) shall be calculated as an average ratio of female to male board members; and







Same disclosure – different representation

	Unit	202
Executive members	Headcount	1
Non-executive members	Headcount	0
Female members of administrative, management and supervisory bodies	%	27.
Board's gender diversity ratio	Times	0.3
Independent board members	%	44.

Own workforce targets and performance Gender diversity	Unit	Target year	Target value	2024 value
Women external hire - white collar	%	2024	33%	30%
Women external hire - blue collar	%	2024	12%	12%
Women in Executive management positions	%	2025	25%	22%

Airbus Annual Report 2024; Page 217

023:		Diversity, Gender with the lowest repre- sentation / female					
24	2023	Board of Directors	%	14	0	14%p	14
	35	Leadership Team	%	0	0	0%p	0
35		Extended Leadership Team	%	12	8	4%p	9
%	14%	All employees	%	35	36	-1%p	38
27	722						

European Energy Annual Report 2024; Page 12





The table below presents gender demographic metrics among the company's employees for 2024 and 202

Gender Demographic Metrics ³⁴	2024	2023
Number (headcount) of women in senior leadership	35	35
Percent of women in senior leadership	15%	14%
Number (headcount) of women in senior management	727	722
Percent of women in senior management	29%	29%

AB INBEV - ANNUAL REPORT 2024; Page 162

Leadership roles

BOD

Group Executive Team Senior Directors Leadership Team Senior Management **Extended Leadership Team Executive Management** Administrative, management and supervisory bodies (CSRD term)

Gender description

Gender with lowest minority representation (female) Female Women

Significant variation

Normalisation

needed!

Judgement unavoidable Who will do that work? Data user /AI?





XBRL Taxonomy

- Every one uses the same tag
- No judgements • required
- Foundation for scalable analysis



Extract from ESRS Set 1 XBRL Taxonomy





Taxonomy unlocks meaning

Need to understand the term to use it appropriately in models

"Finance lease liability"

This term generally means the value on the balance sheets towards finance lease payables



Example report

This term, under the cash flow from financing section, reflects a different context

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	1,804,166	2,046,702
Proceeds from the issuance of convertible notes payable	150,000	120,000 500,000 105,000
Proceeds from the issuance of notes payable	500,000	500,000
Proceeds from the issuance of notes payable related party	15,000	
Finance lease liability	(24,411)	(20,756)
Payments on notes payable, in default	(100,000)	
Payments on notes payable		(6,000)
Payments to shareholders		(2,400)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,344,755	2,742,546

SEAFARER EXPLORATION CORP. 10 K Report

https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/1106213/000119983525000129/sfrx-10k.htm#fact-identifier-656

Let's see how LLMs can understand this



Finding context of the reported value

Explain report item "Finance lease liability" \$24,411 in the report

Based on the provided context, the finance lease liability of \$24,411 refers to the Company's obligation under a lease agreement where the Company essentially finances the purchase of an asset rather than renting it.

Here's a breakdown of what that generally means and how it applies to the information given:

- · Finance Lease vs. Operating Lease: There are two main types of leases:
 - Finance Lease: This is like a loan where the lessee (the Company) takes on most of the risks and rewards of ownership. At the end of the lease term, the asset's ownership is typically transferred to the lessee.
 - Operating Lease: This is more like a traditional rental agreement.
- Finance Lease Liability: This represents the Company's debt obligation to make lease payments under the finance lease agreement. The \$24,411 figure is the present value of those future lease payments. In other words, it's how much the Company owes today for the right to use the leased asset.
- Why is it a Liability? Because the Company has a legal obligation to pay this amount over the lease term.

In the context of the provided report excerpt, the key takeaway is that Seafarer Exploration Corp. has entered into a lease agreement that is classified as a finance lease, and this liability represents the financial obligation arising from that lease.

LLM ignored the actual placement of the item in the **PDF** report





Relationship of the concept in XBRL taxonomy

⑦ Copy ♡ Edit

Explain the relationship for "FinanceLeasePrincipalPayments" - use also the meta-model data

json

Here's a detailed explanation of the XBRL relationship defined for **usgaap:FinanceLeasePrincipalPayments**, using both your taxonomy JSON and the FASB meta-model:

What it Represents:

- Concept: us-gaap:FinanceLeasePrincipalPayments
- Label: "Finance Lease, Principal Payments"
- Type: xbrli:monetaryItemType
- Balance Type: Credit (cash outflow)
- This concept represents cash payments made to reduce the principal balance of a finance lease liability.

Relationships (from taxonomy):

1. Calculation Relationship

- It is a child in the calculation relationship for:
 - us-gaap:NetCashProvidedByUsedInFinancingActivities

The taxonomy model in JSON format extracted from iXBRL viewer was loaded to query in LLM



{ "t": "us-gaap:FinanceLeasePrincipalPayments", "w": -1.0 }

Reliably understand

- meaning
- properties
- presentation • hierarchy
- how it contributes to subtotals





Explain the relationship defined for "Finance Lease, Principal Payments"

"Finance Lease, Principal Payments" has two relationships defined in the meta model:

1. Trait-Based Relationship

- Parent: Financing Activity
- Type of Relationship: Classification trait
- Explanation: This links the concept to the broader category of Financing Activities it's used to characterize the nature of the transaction rather than implying numeric aggregation.

2. Cash Flow Structure Relationship

- Parent: Cash
- Type of Relationship: Instant outflow
- Explanation: This defines it as a cash outflow—it contributes to a reduction in the Cash line item. This makes it part of the cash flow statement's actual movement of funds.

Accounting relationships

Accounting relationships in the US-GAAP metamodel taxonomy aid concept further understanding and interpretation of concept

Meta Model Relationships Taxonomy

https://www.fasb.org/page/detail?pageId=/projects/FASB-Taxonomies/gaapmeta-model-relationships-taxonomy.html





Understanding extension concepts is crucial

📌 What It Represents

This tag captures the amount of convertible notes payable that:

- Are owed to related parties
- Are classified as current liabilities
- Are reported on the balance sheet
- Represent obligations likely due within the next 12 months

So in essence:

It shows how much the company owes in the short term to insiders (like executives, directors, or shareholders) via convertible notes.

Nature of the concept explained

Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit Current liabilities Accounts payable and accrued expenses Deferred revenue Convertible notes payable, net of discount of \$10,525 and \$0, respectively Convertible notes payable, related parties Convertible notes payable, in default Convertible notes payable, in default - related parties Notes payable, net of discount of \$67,708 and \$0, respectively Notes payable, in default Notes payable, in default Notes payable, in default - related parties Shareholder loan Operating lease liability, current Finance lease liability, current Total current liabilities

SEAFARER EXPLORATION CORP. 10 K Report https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/1106213/000119983525000129/sfrx-10k.htm#fact-identifier-11











Built-in validation: A key strength of XBRL

- Ability to define rules
- Validation rules enforcement
- . Questionable with PDF alone



XBRL EUROPE



Example validation rules

DQC_0084

Ensures consistency in durational facts by verifying that subperiod values add up to the aggregate period Q1 + Q2 = H1

Example of failed rule

Sum of the cumulative periods of -64,901,316 for us-gaap:NetIncomeLoss does not match the reported total of -64,891,096, a difference of 10,220.

Period values are: 2024-01-01 to 2024-03-31 -26,618,215 Decimals: 0 2024-04-01 to 2024-06-30 -3,479,291 Decimals: 0 2024-07-01 to 2024-09-30 -34,803,810 Decimals: 0

Camber Energy, Inc. - https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1309082/000147793225003162/0001477932-25-003162-index.htm



Rule evaluation on PDF report

Rule evaluation

Okay, let's apply the Durational Aggregation rule (DQC_0084) specifically to the "Net loss" line item from the income statement in the 10-Q report.

1. Data Extraction

Here's the data we extract for "Net loss" from the "CAMBER ENERGY, INC. Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) (Restated)": ~

Period	Net loss	Decimal Precision
Three months ended Sep 30, 2024	(34,793,590)	0
Three months ended Sep 30, 2023	(7,877,344)	0
Nine months ended Sep 30, 2024	(64,891,096)	0
Nine months ended Sep 30, 2023	(10,785,683)	0

Export to Sheets

- 2. Calculation and Verification
- Sum of three-month periods:
 - 2024: (34,793,590)
 - 2023: (7,877,344)
- · Comparison to nine-month periods:
 - Difference (2024): |(34,793,590) (64,891,096)| = 30,097,506
 - Difference (2023): |(7,877,344) (10,785,683)| = 2,908,339

Did not identify all occurrences of the facts





Rule understanding

Analysis 🗸

I can definitely help you analyze the provided 10-Q report using the Durational Aggregation rule (DQC_0084). However, to execute the rule effectively, I'll need to perform some calculations and extractions of values from the financial statements.

Let's break down how we can approach this and what specific data we need:

1. Understanding the Rule

The rule checks if the values of a durational element (like revenue, expenses, etc.) for subperiods add up to the value of the aggregated period. It also considers a tolerance for rounding differences.

2. Data Extraction

From the 10-Q report, we need to extract relevant financial data that can be aggregated across different time periods. Specifically, we'll look for:

- Income Statement Data: We need line items like "Revenue", "Cost of goods sold", "Operating expenses", etc., for the "Three months ended September 30, 2024", "Three months ended September 30, 2023", "Nine months ended September 30, 2024", and "Nine months ended September 30, 2023" periods.
- Time Periods: We need to clearly define the sub-periods and the aggregated periods. In this case, it looks like we can consider the three-month periods as sub-periods and the ninemonth periods as the aggregated period.
- Decimal Precision: We need to note the decimal precision (if specified) for each of the values we extract, as the rule uses this to calculate the tolerance.

Rule evaluation on PDF report

Wrong evaluations / not reliable

3. Result

The Durational Aggregation rule (DQC_0084) is violated for the "Net loss" in both 2024 and 2023. The sum of the net loss for the three-month periods does not match the net loss for the ninemonth periods, and the difference is significantly greater than the allowable tolerance.







XBRL provides structured data + framework to define and execute rules unambiguously







Dashboard from ESEF reports

https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/revathy6435/viz/timeseries ESEF/Macro





Insights linked to the source facts

Discontinued operations:			
Result after taxes from discontinued operations	13	64,081	4,677
Result for the period		73,466	3,392

https://filings.xbrl.org/743700498L5THNQWVL66/2024-12-31/ESEF/FI/0/CapManPlc-2024-12-31-0-en/reports/ixbrlviewer.html?nopopup#f-fact-31

Note 1.1.-31.12.2024

1.1.-31.12.2023

restated





XBRL enables traceable and trustworthy insights





The multiplier effect

- Need to analyse disclosures over multiple years and filings
- It's costly, especially when AI computation is involved
- The magnitude of cumulative effort !
- Custom AI isn't a strength—it's a symptom for unstructured data problems







Structure enables scale — without it, we lose access and usability







- XBRL delivers machine-readable, context-rich data
- Without it, we lose accuracy, comparability, and trust





AI Powerful — When Applied Right


AI + XBRL: smarter ways to analyse





Numeric Data

Statistical models, ML models for forecasting, trend analysis, detecting anomalies

Narrative **Disclosure**

NLP for identifying themes, sentiment, and emerging risks







Numeric data analysis

- Thematic analysis of • impairments
- Absolute numbers not much of great insight
- 2700+ ESEF reports that have reported impairment loss







The scatter plot shows the clustering of companies based on two ratios:

- 1. Impairment to Profit (how much of their profit is affected by impairments)
- 2. Impairment to Assets (how significant impairments are relative to total assets)

Key Observations:

- Cluster 0 (Red): These companies have moderate impairment ratios. They tend to be in the middle of both metrics and might represent typical or average behavior across companies.
- Cluster 1 (Blue): These are outliers—they have very high impairment to profit ratios, meaning impairments represent a large proportion (or more) of their profits. Some even show negative values (possibly negative profits), indicating financial stress or one-time significant losses.
- Cluster 2 (Green): These entities have relatively low ratios, implying that impairments are minor relative to both their profits and assets. These could be financially healthier or more conservative in impairment recognition.

Clustering to detect outliers

- Clustering analysis for impairments relative to profit and assets
- Simple prompt





Example outlier – bank

The company VANQUIS BANKING GROUP PLC has been identified as an outlier within the original majority group (Cluster 0), specifically in Sub-Cluster 2.

Why It's an Outlier:

relationship to profits is the key outlier trait.

- Impairment / Profit = -14.15: Impairments are over 14 times larger than its profit (which is negative), indicating significant financial stress or a major write-down.
- Impairment / Assets = 0.052: While impairments represent only about 5.2% of assets (not extremely high), the disproportionate

This suggests that the company may have undergone an extraordinary or aggressive impairment event relative to its recent profitability. Let me know if you want further drill-downs, comparisons, or export options. [--]

Total income		488.8	480.7
Impairment charges	13	(166.1)	(66.1)
Risk-adjusted income Operating costs		322.7 (327.1)	414.6 (304.5)
Statutory (loss)/profit before taxation from continuing operations Tax charge for continuing operations	1,6 7	(4.4) (1.6)	110.1 (27.8)
Statutory (loss)/profit after taxation from continuing operations	1	(6.0)	82.3
Loss after taxation from discontinued operations	2	-	(4.9)
Statutory (loss)/profit for the year attributable to equity shareholders		(6.0)	77.4

VANQUIS BANKING GROUP PLC 2023 Annual Report

https://filings.xbrl.org/213800U93SZC44VXN635/2023-12-31/ESEF/GB/0/213800U93SZC44VXN635-2023-12-31/reports/ixbrlviewer.html?nopopup#f-fact-24

The impairment charge in respect of amounts receivable from customers can be analysed as follows:

	Group	Group	
Impairment charge on amounts receivable from customers	2023 £m	2022 £m	
Credit cards	130.0	16.8	
Vehicle finance	15.2	40.8	
Personal loans	20.9	8.5	
Total impairment charge	166.1	66.1	





Example outlier – mining company

Another notable outlier is COBURN RESOURCES PTY LTD, based on its Impairment to Assets ratio:

Key Details:

- Assets: ~202.9 million
- Impairment: ~68.7 million
- Profit/Loss: -112.8 million (significant loss)
- Impairment / Assets: 33.8%
 - One-third of the asset base was impaired a very high percentage, suggesting major asset devaluation.
- Impairment / Profit: -0.61
 - Impairments are a major contributor to losses, though not the sole cause.

Coburn Resources Pty Ltd

https://filinas.xbrl.ora/9845006AB745B93F1590/2024-06-30/ESEF/NO/0/9845006AB745B93F1590-2024-06-30en/reports/ixbrlviewer.html#f-ixv-11

STATEMENT OF PROFIT OR LOSS FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 30 JUNE 2024



	Notes	2024 \$	2023 \$
Sales revenue	4	86,087,518	60,769,375
Cost of sales	5	(138,351,952)	(55,473,317)
Other income		474,407	-
Exploration and evaluation expenditure		(1,497,925)	5 <u>-</u>
Corporate and administrative expenses		(9,896,805)	(1,172,364)
Impairment - Coburn Project - Mine Properties in Development	11	(110,787,020)	
Operating loss before income tax		(173,971,777)	4,123,694

An impairment assessment was undertaken at 30 June 2024 as the Company determined that indicators of impairment are present in relation to the carrying value of Coburn Mineral Sands Project, considering a number of factors including the progress made with the ramp-up of production and an estimate of the recoverable carrying amount of the asset. Consequently, the value-in-use for the CGU has been estimated based on discounted future estimated cash flows (expressed in nominal terms). To determine the extent of the impairment loss, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax, nominal discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset. The Company bases its impairment calculation on detailed budgets and forecast calculations, which are prepared for the Coburn Mineral Sands Project CGU to which the individual assets are allocated. The CGU includes Property, Plant and Equipment less the rehabilitation provisions.





AI + XBRL: smarter ways to analyse





Numeric Data

Statistical models, ML models for forecasting, trend analysis, detecting anomalies

Narrative **Disclosure**

NLP for identifying themes, sentiment, and emerging risks





Example narrative disclosure

Carbon emissions

There may be certain environmental aspects or risks arising from production or disposal of the Company's products / services

Risks involved in end of life treatment & Final disposal of product

Risks arising from final disposal of product packaging

Outsourced jobs are envisaged to involve environmental aspects

Environmental concerns, if any arising out of usage / disposal of the product at customer's end

Environmental concerns may arise on account of carbon emission during vehicular movement at the time of material transportation

NA

Not applicable. The Company is in the business of providing telecommunication services.

Not applicable

Not Applicable, as the Company is in the process of carrying out the LCA in the coming years.

NIL

NA

Not applicable since LCA is not conducted

- Indian sustainability reporting extract
- Risks identified from product lifecycle assessments
- Free form narrative disclosure



Organising disclosures for analysis

Tł	nought for 15 seconds >	
ľ	e classified each "Value" entry into three buckets:	
•	• Risk Reported – the text describes an actual risk or concern.	
	 No Risk Reported – the narrative indicates no risk (e.g. "Nil", "NA", "Not applicable", "No risk ident etc.). 	tified
•	Missing – blank or null entries.	
H	ere's the breakdown over all 359 rows:	
CI	assification	Cou
Ri	sk Reported	2
N	o Risk Reported	J
м	issing	

Sample "Unclear" entries

- Axita Cotton Limited "Cultivation of cotton using conventional practices has more... cotton cultivated through Organic or Better Cotton practices."
- Chambal Fertilizers & Chemicals Limited "Not determined"
- GHCL Limited "Land use, dusting"
- Johnson Controls Hitachi Air Conditioning India Limited "HCFC (Refrigerant) Gas used in the Air Conditioners"
- SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED "Contains SF6 Gas & PCBA"

 Classify disclosures, making them easier to analyse

Leverage LLMs' capability of semantic understanding





Thematic analysis of risks identified



The stacked bar chart above shows, for each sector, how many narratives fall into each of the five risk clusters:

- Consumer Discretionary and Industrials lead in overall counts, with strong representation in Clusters 1 (Emissions & Pollution) and 2 (LCA & Consumption).
- Commodities also shows a mix, notably in Cluster 1 (Emissions) and Cluster 4 (Waste & Effluent).
- Fast Moving Consumer Goods narratives are exclusively in Cluster 2 (LCA & Consumption) and Cluster 1 (Emissions).
- Financial Services and Utilities have relatively few narratives, centered in Cluster 2 (LCA) and Cluster 1 (Emissions), respectively.
- Energy is dominated by Cluster 0 (General Concern) and Cluster 4 (Waste & Effluent).
- Healthcare leans toward Cluster 1 (Emissions & Pollution). •
- Information Technology and Services have smaller volumes but show emphasis on Clusters 1 and 2.

- Advance narrative disclosure analysis with simple English queries
- AI + structured data = patterns revealed, anomalies flagged, insights improved







- It is not AI vs XBRL
- Al needs structure
 - XBRL brings that structure
 - Together, they enable
 - Smarter reporting
 - Faster analysis
 - More meaningful insights





Questions

revathy.ramanan@xbrl.org



The information contained in this presentation represents the opinions of the speaker and may not represent the views of XBRL International, the Board of XBRL International or the consensus opinions of the XBRL Standards Board or Best Practices Board. Nothing in this presentation should be taken to be investment advice and all data representations are merely indicative. The reader should note that only XBRL Specifications that have reached Recommendation status are considered final and suitable for use in software and mission critical systems and must then be used in line with the XBRL International License Agreement. XBRL®, Inline XBRL™, Table Linkbase™ and the XBRL mark are Registered Trademarks or Trademarks of XBRL International Inc in the European Union, United States, China, Japan, India and internationally and may not be used without the permission of XBRL International, Inc including through its Trademark policies and agreements.

Copyright © 2001-2025 XBRL International Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this presentation file may be reproduced in any form or by any means without written permission from XBRL International Inc.

All graphics in this presentation are duly licensed from either Adobe Corporation or The Noun Project, or represent fair use for research use.

